



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,708		03/24/2000	Robert G. Arsenault	PD-980130	2593
20991	7590	02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
		GROUP INC	TRAN, HAI V		
PATENT P O BOX		T ADMINISTRATIO	ON RE/R11/A109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGU	JNDO, C	A 90245-0956		2611	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/534,708	ARSENAULT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Tran	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
• •	DI V IO OET TO EVOIDE - 1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period fo	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (\$1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 December 2005.		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-27 is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	• • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
(PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:		

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 28-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon by US 2001/0056577A1 in view of Gordon et al. (US 6208335).

Claim 1, Gordon discloses a method of broadcasting TV content and program guide data (Fig. 1 and 2), the TV content (video sources) divided into a plurality of TV channels (i.e., FOX... page 6, sect. 0065), each TV channel constructed from at least one content component (page 6, sect. 0065), the program guide data including multiple channel objects (page 5, sect. 0050), each channel object (Fig. 1, el. 135; Fig. 11-14, el. 610-1..8), associated with one of the TV channels (Fig. 11A-14; i.e. CNN associates with channel 5), each channel object (TV program Icons, i.e. CNN), including at least one channel definition (i.e., video PID) that identifies the channel content components needed to construct the TV channel associated with that

channel object for display (page 16, sect. 0155-0156 and page 18, section 0184), wherein the method comprising:

Providing the TV content and the program guide data (Fig. 4);

Adding conditional logic to channel objects (page 9, sect.0088) that include more than one channel definition, the conditional logic including one or more conditional logic including conditions expressions (Gordon's arranging bitmap information in different data blocks, is "a conditional logic expression" because Gordon system refers to arranged bitmap information for identifying what types of access allowed in using conditional logic expression, i.e. Boolean) that must be evaluated by a receiver (the conditional access is evaluated by the receiver unique terminal identification see page 7, sect. 0070) to identify a 1st channel definition or a 2nd channel definition, the 1st channel definition being associated wit a 1st video component or a 1st audio component, and the 2nd channel definition being associated with a 2nd video component or a 2nd audio component (the receiver with its unique terminal identification (page 7, sect. 0070) identifies which channel definition associates with corresponding channel object that is allow displaying on the TV, i.e., PPV, VOD or subscription services like HBO, Showtimes, etc... see page 8, sect. 0085-0087 and page 9, section 0088):

Combining the TV content and the program guide data into an output stream (Fig. 4, el. 450); and broadcasting the output stream to a plurality of receivers (page 6, sect. 0062-page 7, sect. 0070).

Gordon does not specifically discloses that one or more rule could or should be included in a channel object, i.e., bitmaps.

Page 4

Gordon '335 discloses that one or more rule is included in a channel object (graphical objects that are associated with applets, Col. 7, lines 40-65+). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gordon to include associate rule/applet to an channel object, i.e., icon, as taught by Gordon'335 so to provide an improved interactive menu structure for an on screen program guide for use with an information distribution system (see col. 3, lines 5-28).

Claim 29, wherein one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on subscription data representing channels to which a user subscribes (page 8, sect. 0084-0088).

Claim 30, wherein one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on selection history data representing programs that a user has previously watched (page 9, sect 0098; page 15, sect 0144-0148; page 17, sect.0174).

Claim 31, wherein one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on receiver characteristics data representing a characteristic of the receivers (page 9, sect. 0091-92).

Claim 32, wherein the receiver characteristic data includes geographic location data representing a specific geographic location, and one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on the geographic location data (page 9, sect. 0098).

Claim 33, wherein the receiver characteristics data includes at least one identification code that uniquely identifies a receiver, and one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on the identification code (page 7, sect. 0070).

Claim 34, wherein the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object is based on both the current time at the site of the receivers and subscription data representing channels to which users of the receivers subscribe (page 5, section 0057-059; page 8, sect. 0085 and page 10, sect 0102).

Claim 35, wherein one of the conditions contained in the conditional logic of a channel object associated with a pay per view television channel is based on the current time at the site of the receivers and pay per view purchase data representing pay per view programs that have been ordered by a user (page 5, section 0057-059; page 8, sect. 0087 and page 10, sect 0102).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 36, Gordon discloses a method of receiving television content and program guide data that is broadcast from a television broadcasting station (Fig. 2). the TV content (video sources) divided into a plurality of TV channels (i.e., FOX... page 6, sect. 0065), each TV channel constructed from at least one channel content component (video encoder of Fig. 4; page 6, sect. 0065), the program guide data including multiple channel objects (page 5, sect. 0050), each channel object (Fig. 1, el. 135; Fig. 11-14, el. 610-1..8) associated with one of the TV channels (Fig. 11A-14; i.e. CNN associates with channel 5), each channel object (TV program Icons, i.e. CNN), including at least one channel definition (i.e., video PID) that identifies the channel content components including a video component or an audio component needed to construct the TV channel associated with that channel object for display (page 16, sect. 0155-0156 and page 18, section 0184), each channel object with more than one channel definition including conditional logic (page 9, sect. 0088) having one or more conditional logic expression (Gordon's arranging bitmap information in different data blocks, is "a conditional logic expression" because Gordon system refers to arranged bitmap information for identifying what types of access allowed) including conditions that must be evaluated to identify an appropriate channel definition (the conditional access is evaluated by the receiver unique terminal identification see page 7, sect. 0070), the method comprising:

Receiving the TV content and the program guide data by a receiver station that includes a receiver;

Storing the program guide data in a memory 276 (sect. 0092);

Receiving a tuning request that selects a TV channel (page 3, sect. 0036);

Responding to the tuning request by evaluating the conditions in the one or more conditional logic expressions (Gordon's arranging bitmap information in different data blocks, is "a conditional logic expression" because Gordon system refers to arranged bitmap information for identifying what types of access allowed) of the channel object associated with the selected TV channel and identifying a 1st channel definition or a 2nd channel definition for that TV channel (page 3, sect.0037-0040); the 1st channel definition being associated wit a 1st video component or a 1st audio component, and the 2nd channel definition being associated with a 2nd video component or a 2nd audio component (page 7, sect. 0073-page 8, sect 0077; sect. 0081-0087); and

Generating an output of the selected TV channel, the output including the channel content components identified by the 1st channel definition or the 2nd channel definition (page 5, sect-0056-page 6, sect. 0060 and page 7, sect.0075).

Gordon does not specifically discloses that one or more rule could or should be included in a channel object, i.e., bitmaps.

Gordon '335 discloses that one or more rule is included in a channel object (graphical objects that are associated with applets, Col. 7, lines 40-65+).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gordon to include associate rule/applet to an channel object, i.e., icon, as taught by Gordon'335 so to provide an improved

interactive menu structure for an on screen program guide for use with an information distribution system (see col. 3, lines 5-28).

Claim 37 apparatus claim is analyzed with respect to method claim 36.

Claims 38-40, Gordon further discloses wherein the 1st channel definition comprises a channel definition defining channel content components associated with a user who have purchased a program or an event, and wherein the 2nd channel definition comprises a channel definition defining channel content components associated with a user who have not purchased a program or an event (page 8, sect. 0087-0088; page 9, sect. 0095-0098).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/534,708 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MANATY EXAMINE

HT:ht 02/17/2006